arXiv:1407.6767v3 [math.GT] 15 Jun 2016

ON STACKED TRIANGULATED MANIFOLDS

BASUDEB DATTA AND SATOSHI MURAI

Abstract. We prove two results on stacked triangulated manifolds in this paper: (a) every stacked triangulation of a connected manifold with or without boundary is obtained from a simplex or the boundary of a simplex by certain combinatorial operations; (b) in dimension $d \geq 4$, if Δ is a tight connected closed homology d-manifold whose ith homology vanishes for $1 < i < d-1$, then Δ is a stacked triangulation of a manifold. These results give affirmative answers to questions posed by Novik and Swartz and by Effenberger.

MSC 2010 : 57Q15, 57R20, 05C40.
Keywords: Stacked manifolds; Triangulations of 3-manifolds; Tight triangulations.

1. Introduction

Stacked triangulations of spheres are of fundamental interest, in particular in the study of convex polytopes and triangulations of spheres. Recently, the notion of stackedness was extended to triangulations of manifolds in [MN]. In this paper, we prove two results on stacked triangulations of manifolds.

We say that a simplicial complex Δ is a *triangulation* of a manifold M if its geometric carrier $|\Delta|$ is homeomorphic to M. A triangulation of a d-manifold with non-empty boundary is said to be *stacked* if all its interior faces have dimension $\geq d-1$. A triangulation of a closed d-manifold (that is, a compact d-manifold without boundary) is said to be *stacked* if it is the boundary of a stacked triangulation of a $(d+1)$-manifold. A triangulation of a d-manifold is said to be *locally stacked* if each vertex link is a stacked triangulation of the $(d-1)$-sphere or the $(d-1)$-ball.

Kalai [Ka] proved that, for $d \geq 4$, every locally stacked triangulation of a connected closed d-manifold can be obtained from the boundary of a $(d+1)$-simplex by certain combinatorial operations. This result does not hold for 3-manifolds since there are triangulations of 3-manifolds which are locally stacked but cannot be obtained by these operations (see e.g. [BDS, Example 6.2]). On the other hand, since the stackedness and the locally stackedness are equivalent in dimension ≥ 4 [BD2, MN], Kalai's result also characterizes stacked triangulations of connected closed manifolds of dimension ≥ 4. We give a similar characterization for stacked triangulations of manifolds with boundary (Theorem 4.5). As a consequence, we generalize the result of Kalai to stacked triangulations of closed manifolds of dimension ≥ 2 (Corollary 4.6). This result and a recent result of Bagchi [Ba] solve a question posed by Novik and Swartz [NS, Problem 5.3].

Our second result is about an equivalence of tightness and tight-neighborliness. Let $\widetilde{H}_i(\Delta;\mathbb{F})$ be the ith reduced homology group of a topological space (or a simplicial complex) Δ with coefficients in a field $\mathbb{F}$. The number $\beta_i(\Delta;\mathbb{F}) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \widetilde{H}_i(\Delta;\mathbb{F})$ is called the *ith Betti number* of Δ with respect to $\mathbb{F}$. For a simplicial complex Δ on the vertex set V, we write $\Delta[W] = \{\alpha \in \Delta : \alpha \subseteq W\}$ for its induced subcomplex on $W \subseteq V$. A simplicial complex Δ on the vertex set V is said to be *$\mathbb{F}$-tight* if it is connected and the natural map $\widetilde{H}_i(\Delta[W];\mathbb{F}) \to \widetilde{H}_i(\Delta;\mathbb{F})$ induced by the inclusion map is injective for all $W \subseteq V$ and for all $i \geq 0$. We simply say that a simplicial complex is *tight* if it is $\mathbb{F}$-tight for some field $\mathbb{F}$. See [Kü, KL] for background and motivations of tightness. A simplicial complex is said to

be *neighborly* if each pair of vertices forms a face. Note that a tight simplicial complex is neighborly (cf. [BD3]).

An n-vertex triangulation Δ of a closed manifold of dimension $d \geq 3$ is said to be *tight-neighborly* if $\binom{n-d-1}{2} = \binom{d+2}{2}\beta_1(\Delta; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. This condition is known to be equivalent to saying that Δ is stacked and neighborly (cf. Section 5). Tight-neighborliness was introduced by Lutz, Sulanke and Swartz. They conjectured that tight-neighborly triangulations are $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$-tight [LSS, Conjecture 13]. The conjecture was solved by Effenberger [Ef, Corollary 4.4] in dimension ≥ 4 and by Burton, Datta, Singh and Spreer [BDSS, Corollary 1.3] in dimension 3. On the other hand, Effenberger [Ef, Question 4.5] asked if the converse of this property holds for triangulations of connected sums of S^{d-1}-bundles over S^1 when $d \geq 4$.

We answer Effenberger's question affirmatively. More generally, we prove that, in dimension $d \geq 4$, every tight, closed, orientable, $\mathbb{F}$-homology d-manifold with $\beta_i(\Delta; \mathbb{F}) = 0$ for $1 < i < d-1$, is tight-neighbourly (Corollary 5.4). This result and Effenberger's result say that, for triangulations of connected sums of S^{d-1}-bundles over S^1 with $d \geq 4$, tightness is equivalent to tight-neighborliness. Also, since tight-neighborly triangulations are vertex minimal triangulations, the result solves a special case of a conjecture of Kühnel and Lutz [KL, Conjecture 1.3] which states that every tight combinatorial triangulation is vertex minimal.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give a few basic definitions. In Section 3, we define an analogue of a combinatorial handle addition for homology manifolds with boundary and study its basic properties. In Section 4, we present a combinatorial characterization of stacked triangulated manifolds with and without boundary. In Section 5, we study the stackedness of tight triangulations.

2. Preliminaries

Recall that a *simplicial complex* is a collection of finite sets (sets of *vertices*) such that every subset of an element is also an element. All simplicial complexes here are finite and non-empty. For $i \geq 0$, the elements of size $i+1$ are called the *i-faces* (or *faces of dimension i*) of the complex. The empty set $\emptyset$ is a face (of dimension -1) of every simplicial complex. For a simplicial complex Δ, let $f_i(\Delta)$ be the number of i-faces of Δ. The maximum k such that Δ has a k-simplex is called the *dimension* of Δ and is denoted by $\dim(\Delta)$. A maximal face (under inclusion) in Δ is called a *facet* of Δ. If σ is a face of Δ then the *link* of σ in Δ is the subcomplex

$$\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(\sigma) = \{\tau \setminus \sigma : \sigma \subseteq \tau \in \Delta\}.$$

We are mainly interested in triangulations of manifolds, but we actually consider slightly more general objects called homology manifolds. For a field $\mathbb{F}$, a simplicial complex S of dimension d is said to be an *$\mathbb{F}$-homology d-sphere* if, for each face σ of dimension $i \geq -1$, $\mathrm{lk}_S(\sigma)$ has the same $\mathbb{F}$-homologies as the $(d-i-1)$-sphere. A simplicial complex B of dimension d is said to be an *$\mathbb{F}$-homology d-ball* if (i) B has trivial reduced $\mathbb{F}$-homologies, (ii) for each face σ of dimension $i \leq d-1$, the reduced $\mathbb{F}$-homologies of $\mathrm{lk}_B(\sigma)$ are trivial or the same as those of the $(d-i-1)$-sphere and (iii) the boundary

$$\partial B = \{\sigma \in B : -1 < \dim(\sigma) < d \text{ and } \widetilde{H}_{d-\dim(\sigma)-1}(\mathrm{lk}_B(\sigma); \mathbb{F}) = 0\} \cup \{\emptyset\} \tag{1}$$

is an $\mathbb{F}$-homology $(d-1)$-sphere. A simplicial complex is said to be an *$\mathbb{F}$-homology d-manifold* if each vertex link is either an $\mathbb{F}$-homology $(d-1)$-sphere or an $\mathbb{F}$-homology $(d-1)$-ball. Note that a triangulation of a d-manifold is an $\mathbb{F}$-homology d-manifold for every field $\mathbb{F}$.

In the rest of the paper, we fix a field $\mathbb{F}$ and by a *homology manifold/ball/sphere* we shall mean an $\mathbb{F}$-homology manifold/ball/ sphere. We define the *boundary* $\partial\Delta$ of a homology d-manifold Δ in the same way as in (1). If $\partial\Delta = \{\emptyset\}$, then Δ is called a *closed homology d-manifold* (or a *homology d-manifold without boundary*), otherwise Δ is called a *homology d-manifold with boundary*. If Δ is a homology d-manifold with boundary, then $\partial\Delta$ becomes a closed homology $(d-1)$-manifold. We say that a connected, closed, $\mathbb{F}$-homology d-manifold Δ is $\mathbb{F}$-*orientable* (or simply, *orientable*) if $\widetilde{H}_d(\Delta;\mathbb{F}) \cong \mathbb{F}$. Similarly, a connected homology d-manifold Δ with boundary is *orientable* if $H_d(\Delta, \partial\Delta;\mathbb{F}) \cong \mathbb{F}$. We note the following easy fact.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Δ be an orientable homology d-manifold with boundary. If Δ has trivial reduced homologies then Δ is a homology d-ball.*

Proof. It is clear that Δ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of homology balls. The fact that $\partial\Delta$ is a homology $(d-1)$-sphere follows from the long exact sequence of the pair $(\Delta, \partial\Delta)$ and the Poincaré–Lefschetz duality [Sp, Theorem 6.2.19]. □

We define the stackedness and the locally stackedness for homology manifolds in the same way as for triangulations of manifolds. Clearly, a stacked homology manifold is locally stacked. Since any stacked homology ball (resp., sphere) is a combinatorial ball (resp., sphere), it follows that every (locally) stacked homology manifold is a combinatorial manifold, i.e., a PL triangulation of an actual manifold. Thus we simply call them (*locally*) *stacked manifolds* (*with or without boundary*).

Next, we recall Walkup's class $\mathcal{H}^d$. Let Δ be a connected, closed, homology manifold and let σ and τ be facets of Δ. We say that a bijection $\psi : \sigma \to \tau$ is *admissible* if $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v) \cap \mathrm{lk}_\Delta(\psi(v)) = \{\emptyset\}$ for each vertex $v \in \sigma$. Note that, for the existence of an admissible bijection $\psi : \sigma \to \tau$, σ and τ must be disjoint. For an admissible bijection $\psi : \sigma \to \tau$, let Δ^ψ be the simplicial complex obtained from $\Delta \setminus \{\sigma, \tau\}$ by identifying v and $\psi(v)$ for all $v \in \sigma$. The simplicial complex Δ^ψ is said to be obtained from Δ by a *combinatorial handle addition*.

Definition 2.2 (Walkup's class $\mathcal{H}^d$)**.** Let $d \geq 3$ be an integer. We recursively define the class $\mathcal{H}^d(k)$ as follows.

(a) $\mathcal{H}^d(0)$ is the set of stacked triangulations of the $(d-1)$-sphere.
(b) A simplicial complex Δ is in $\mathcal{H}^d(k+1)$ if it is obtained from a member of $\mathcal{H}^d(k)$ by a combinatorial handle addition.

The Walkup's class $\mathcal{H}^d$ is the union $\mathcal{H}^d = \bigcup_{k\geq 0} \mathcal{H}^d(k)$.

Kalai [Ka, Corollary 8.4] proved that every connected, locally stacked, closed, d-manifold is a member of Walkup's class $\mathcal{H}^{d+1}$ when $d \geq 4$, and as a consequence it follows that $\mathcal{H}^{d+1}$ is the set of all (locally) stacked, connected, closed, d-manifolds for $d \geq 4$. Although Kalai's result is not true for $d = 3$ (see e.g. [BDS, Example 6.2]), we prove that $\mathcal{H}^{d+1}$ is the set of all connected, stacked, closed, d-manifolds for $d \geq 2$.

3. Simplicial handle addition

In this section, we define an analogue of combinatorial handle additions for homology manifolds with boundary. Some statements in this section will be easy for experts, but we include all the proofs for the sake of completeness.

All homologies are with coefficients in an arbitrary field $\mathbb{F}$, which is fixed throughout, and $\widetilde{H}_i(\Delta;\mathbb{F})$ and $\beta_i(\Delta;\mathbb{F})$ will be denoted by $\widetilde{H}_i(\Delta)$ and $\beta_i(\Delta)$, respectively. Let Δ be a homology d-manifold with boundary on the vertex set V and let σ and τ be facets

of $\partial\Delta$. We say that a bijection $\psi : \sigma \to \tau$ is *admissible* if, for every vertex $v \in \sigma$, $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v) \cap \mathrm{lk}_\Delta(\psi(v)) = \{\emptyset\}$. For an admissible bijection $\psi : \sigma \to \tau$, let $\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}$ be the simplicial complex obtained from Δ by identifying v and $\psi(v)$ for all $v \in \sigma$. (The main difference between Δ^ψ and $\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}$ is that we do not remove σ and τ for the definition of $\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}$.) Thus, if we define ψ_+ to be the map from V to $V \setminus \sigma$ by $\psi_+(v) = \psi(v)$ if $v \in \sigma$ and $\psi_+(v) = v$ otherwise, then we can consider $\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}$ on the vertex set $V \setminus \sigma$ as

$$\Delta^{\overline{\psi}} = \{\psi_+(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta\}.$$

If Δ is connected, then we say that $\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}$ is obtained from Δ by a *simplicial handle addition*. If Δ has two connected components Δ_1 and Δ_2 and if $\sigma \in \Delta_1$ and $\tau \in \Delta_2$, then we write $\Delta^{\overline{\psi}} = \Delta_1 \cup_\psi \Delta_2$ and call it a *simplicial connected union* of Δ_1 and Δ_2. Below we give some basic properties of $\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}$. For $\sigma \in \Delta$, we write $\overline{\sigma}$ for the simplicial complex having a single facet σ.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Δ and Γ be two homology d-balls. If $\Delta \cap \Gamma = \partial\Delta \cap \partial\Gamma = \overline{\alpha}$, where α is a $(d-1)$-simplex, then $\Delta \cup \Gamma$ is a homology d-ball.*

Proof. We use induction on d. The statement is obvious when $d = 1$. Suppose $d > 1$. Since $\Delta \cap \Gamma = \overline{\alpha}$, the exactness of the Mayer–Vietoris sequence implies that $\Delta \cup \Gamma$ has trivial reduced homology. Let v be a vertex of $\Delta \cup \Gamma$. If $v \notin \alpha$ then $\mathrm{lk}_{\Delta\cup\Gamma}(v)$ is equal to either $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)$ or $\mathrm{lk}_\Gamma(v)$ and hence a homology $(d-1)$-sphere or $(d-1)$-ball. If $v \in \alpha$ then $v \in \partial\Delta \cap \partial\Gamma$ and hence $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)$ and $\mathrm{lk}_\Gamma(v)$ are homology $(d-1)$-balls and $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v) \cap \mathrm{lk}_\Gamma(v) = \overline{\alpha \setminus \{v\}}$. Since $\mathrm{lk}_{\Delta\cup\Gamma}(v) = \mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v) \cup \mathrm{lk}_\Gamma(v)$, $\mathrm{lk}_{\Delta\cup\Gamma}(v)$ is a homology $(d-1)$-ball by the induction hypothesis. The lemma now follows from Lemma 2.1. □

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that the simplicial connected union of two homology d-balls is a homology d-ball.

Lemma 3.2. *For $d \geq 2$, let Δ be a (not necessary connected) homology d-manifold with boundary. Let σ and τ be two facets of $\partial\Delta$. If $\psi : \sigma \to \tau$ is an admissible bijection then*

(i) *$\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}$ is a homology d-manifold with boundary,*
(ii) *$(\beta_0(\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}), \beta_1(\Delta^{\overline{\psi}})) = (\beta_0(\Delta), \beta_1(\Delta) + 1)$ or $(\beta_0(\Delta) - 1, \beta_1(\Delta))$ and*
(iii) *$\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}$ is stacked if and only if Δ is stacked.*

Proof. (i) For every $\alpha \in \Delta^{\overline{\psi}}$ with $\alpha \not\subseteq \tau$, there is a unique face $\gamma \in \Delta$ such that $\alpha = \psi_+(\gamma)$ and $\mathrm{lk}_{\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}}(\alpha)$ is combinatorially isomorphic to $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(\gamma)$. Thus, to prove the statement, it is enough to show that, for every $\alpha \subseteq \tau$, $\mathrm{lk}_{\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}}(\alpha)$ is either a homology $(d - \dim(\alpha) - 1)$-sphere or $(d - \dim(\alpha) - 1)$-ball. It is clear that $|\mathrm{lk}_{\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}}(\tau)| \cong S^0$. For a proper face α of τ, a straightforward computation implies

$$\mathrm{lk}_{\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}}(\alpha) = \mathrm{lk}_\Delta(\alpha) \cup_{\psi'} \mathrm{lk}_\Delta(\psi^{-1}(\alpha)),$$

where $\psi' : \psi^{-1}(\tau \setminus \alpha) \to \tau \setminus \alpha$ is the restriction of ψ to $\psi^{-1}(\tau \setminus \alpha)$. By Lemma 3.1, $\mathrm{lk}_{\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}}(\alpha)$ is a homology $(d - \dim(\alpha) - 1)$-ball.

(ii) It is clear that $\beta_0(\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}) = \beta_0(\Delta) - 1$ if σ and τ belong to different connected components and $\beta_0(\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}) = \beta_0(\Delta)$ if σ and τ are in the same connected component. Observe that $\widetilde{H}_i(|\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}|) \cong \widetilde{H}_i(|\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}|, |\tau|) \cong \widetilde{H}_i(|\Delta|, |\sigma| \cup |\tau|)$ for all i. Then the desired statement follows from the following exact sequence of pairs

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 = \widetilde{H}_1(|\sigma| \cup |\tau|) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{H}_1(|\Delta|) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{H}_1(|\Delta|, |\sigma| \cup |\tau|) & & \\ \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}_0(|\sigma| \cup |\tau|) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{H}_0(|\Delta|) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{H}_0(|\Delta|, |\sigma| \cup |\tau|) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

(iii) This statement follows from the proof of (i) since it says that the interior faces of $\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}$ are τ and $\psi_+(\alpha)$ for all interior faces α of Δ. □

The proof of Lemma 3.2 (i) also says that if Δ is connected then $\partial(\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}) = (\partial\Delta)^{\psi}$. Also $|\partial(\Delta_1 \cup_\psi \Delta_2)|$ is a connected sum of $|\partial\Delta_1|$ and $|\partial\Delta_2|$.

Next, we consider the inverse of the construction of $\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}$, which we call simplicial handle deletions.

Lemma 3.3. *Let B be a homology d-ball with vertex set V, σ an interior $(d-1)$-face of B with $\partial\overline{\sigma} \subseteq \partial B$. Then $B[V \setminus \sigma]$ contains exactly two connected components.*

Proof. Let $v * \partial B = \partial B \cup \{\{v\} \cup \alpha : \alpha \in \partial B\}$ be the cone over ∂B, where v is a new vertex. It is easy to see that $S = B \cup (v * \partial B)$ is a homology d-sphere. Then

$$\widetilde{H}_0(S[V \setminus \sigma]) \cong \widetilde{H}_{d-1}(S[\sigma \cup \{v\}]) \cong \widetilde{H}_{d-1}(S[\sigma \cup \{v\}], (v * \partial B)[\sigma \cup \{v\}])),$$

where the first isomorphism follows from the Alexander duality [Sp, Theorem 6.2.17] and the second isomorphism follows from the long exact sequence of pairs since $\widetilde{H}_i((v * \partial B)[\sigma \cup \{v\}]) = 0$ for all i. Since $B[V \setminus \sigma] = S[V \setminus \sigma]$ and since

$$\widetilde{H}_{d-1}(S[\sigma \cup \{v\}], (v * \partial B)[\sigma \cup \{v\}])) \cong \widetilde{H}_{d-1}(B[\sigma], (\partial B)[\sigma])) = \widetilde{H}_{d-1}(\overline{\sigma}, \partial\overline{\sigma}) \cong \mathbb{F},$$

$B[V \setminus \sigma]$ has exactly two connected components. □

Recall that any interior $(d-1)$-face σ of a homology d-manifold Δ is contained in exactly two facets since $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(\sigma)$ has the same homologies as S^0.

Lemma 3.4. *Let B and σ be as in Lemma 3.3, C_1 and C_2 the connected components of $B[V \setminus \sigma]$ and let W_1 and W_2 be the vertex sets of C_1 and C_2 respectively. Let $B_1 = B[W_1 \cup \sigma]$ and $B_2 = B[W_2 \cup \sigma]$. Then the following hold.*

(i) *$B = B_1 \cup B_2$ and $B_1 \cap B_2 = \overline{\sigma}$.*
(ii) *If $\{x\} \cup \sigma$ and $\{y\} \cup \sigma$ are the facets of B containing σ, then one of x and y is in B_1 and the other is in B_2.*
(iii) *B_1 and B_2 are homology d-balls.*

Proof. (i) It is clear that $B \supseteq B_1 \cup B_2$ and $B_1 \cap B_2 = \overline{\sigma}$. We prove $B \subseteq B_1 \cup B_2$. Let α be a facet of B. Then $\alpha \setminus \sigma \in B[V \setminus \sigma]$ is contained in either W_1 or W_2, which implies $\alpha \in B_1 \cup B_2$.

(ii) Since C_1 and C_2 are not empty, there are facets α, γ of B such that $\alpha \in B_1$ and $\gamma \in B_2$. Since B is a homology d-ball, it is a d-dimensional pseudomanifold and hence there is a sequence $\alpha = \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k = \gamma$ of facets such that $\alpha_{i-1} \cap \alpha_i$ has dimension $d-1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ (see [Sp, p. 150 and 278]). Let j be a number such that $\alpha_{j-1} \in B_1$ and $\alpha_j \in B_2$. Then $\alpha_{j-1} \cap \alpha_j$ must be σ. Since $\{x\} \cup \sigma$ and $\{y\} \cup \sigma$ are the only facets containing σ, they must be α_{j-1} and α_j.

(iii) We use induction on d. The statement is clear when $d = 1$. Consider the subcomplex B_1. If α is a face in $B_1 \setminus \overline{\sigma}$ then any facet $\gamma \in B$ containing α must intersect W_1 and hence is in B_1. If $\alpha \in \overline{\sigma}$, then α is a face of the d-face $\sigma \cup \{x\} \in B_1$. Thus, B_1 is pure. Next, let v be a vertex of B_1. If $v \notin \sigma$ then $\mathrm{lk}_{B_1}(v) = \mathrm{lk}_B(v)$ is a homology $(d-1)$-sphere or a homology $(d-1)$-ball. Suppose $v \in \sigma$. Then $\mathrm{lk}_B(v)$ is a homology $(d-1)$-ball such that $\sigma \setminus \{v\}$ is its interior face. Since $\mathrm{lk}_{\mathrm{lk}_B(v)}(\alpha) = \mathrm{lk}_B(\{v\} \cup \alpha)$ is a homology ball for any $\alpha \in \partial(\overline{\sigma \setminus \{v\}})$, it follows that $\partial(\overline{\sigma \setminus \{v\}}) \subseteq \partial(\mathrm{lk}_B(v))$. Since x and y are in $\mathrm{lk}_B(v)$, $\mathrm{lk}_B(v)[W_1]$ and $\mathrm{lk}_B(v)[W_2]$ are non-empty. Thus, they are different components of $\mathrm{lk}_B(v)[V \setminus \sigma]$. By the induction hypothesis, $\mathrm{lk}_{B_1}(v) = \mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)[(W_1 \cup \sigma) \setminus \{v\}]$ is a homology $(d-1)$-ball. Thus, $\mathrm{lk}_{B_1}(v)$ is either a homology $(d-1)$-sphere or a homology $(d-1)$-ball

for every vertex v of B_1. This implies that B_1 is a homology d-manifold with boundary. Since part (i) and the exactness of the Mayer–Vietoris sequence imply $\widetilde{H}_i(B_1) = 0$ for all i, B_1 is a homology d-ball by Lemma 2.1. Similarly, B_2 is a homology d-ball. □

We say that B_i in Lemma 3.4 is the *x-component* (resp. *y-component*) of B with respect to σ if it contains x (resp. y).

Let Δ be a homology d-manifold with boundary. Suppose that Δ has an interior $(d-1)$-face $\sigma = \{z_1, \ldots, z_d\}$ with $\partial\overline{\sigma} \subseteq \partial\Delta$. Let $\{x\} \cup \sigma$ and $\{y\} \cup \sigma$ be the facets of Δ containing σ. Consider

$$R = \{\alpha \in \Delta : \alpha \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset,\ \alpha \not\subseteq \sigma\}.$$

Observe that, for each $\tau \subsetneq \sigma$, $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(\tau)$ is a homology ball satisfying the assumption of Lemma 3.3 in the sense that $\sigma \setminus \tau$ is an interior face of $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(\tau)$ with $\partial(\overline{\sigma \setminus \tau}) \subseteq \partial(\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(\tau))$. Let

$$R_x(k) = \{\alpha \in R : z_k \in \alpha, \alpha \setminus \{z_k\} \text{ is in the } x\text{-component of } \mathrm{lk}_\Delta(z_k) \text{ w.r.t. } \sigma \setminus \{z_k\}\}$$

and define $R_y(k)$ similarly. Let

$$X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{d} R_x(k) \text{ and } Y = \bigcup_{k=1}^{d} R_y(k).$$

Note that $R = X \cup Y$.

Lemma 3.5. *If $R_x(k)$, $R_y(k)$, X and Y are as above then $X \cap Y = \emptyset$. Also, $\{\alpha \in X : z_k \in \alpha\} = R_x(k)$ and $\{\alpha \in Y : z_k \in \alpha\} = R_y(k)$ for $1 \leq k \leq d$.*

Proof. To prove the first result, we must prove that $R_x(k) \cap R_y(\ell) = \emptyset$ for all $k \neq \ell$. Suppose to the contrary that $\alpha \in R_s(k) \cap R_y(\ell)$ for some $k \neq \ell$. Then $\alpha \setminus \{z_k, z_\ell\}$ is in the x-component and the y-component of $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(\{z_k, z_\ell\})$ with respect to $\sigma \setminus \{z_k, z_\ell\}$ and hence $\alpha \subseteq \sigma$, a contradiction since $\alpha \in R$.

Let $\alpha \in X$ with $z_k \in \alpha$. Then $\alpha \in R_x(\ell)$ for some ℓ. If $\ell = k$ then $\alpha \in R_x(k)$. Otherwise, $\alpha \setminus \{z_k, z_\ell\}$ and x are in the same component of $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(\{z_k, z_\ell\})$. Since $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(z_k) \supseteq \mathrm{lk}_\Delta(\{z_k, z_\ell\})$, we have $\alpha \in R_x(k)$. This proves that $\{\alpha \in X : z_k \in \alpha\} = R_x(k)$. Similarly, $\{\alpha \in Y : z_k \in \alpha\} = R_y(k)$. □

Definition 3.6. Let Δ be a homology d-manifold with boundary and let $\sigma = \{z_1, \ldots, z_d\}$ be an interior $(d-1)$-face of Δ with $\partial\overline{\sigma} \subseteq \partial\Delta$. Let R, $R_x(k)$, $R_y(k)$, X and Y be as above. Let $z_1^+, \ldots, z_d^+$ be new vertices and $\sigma^+ = \{z_1^+, \ldots, z_d^+\}$. For $\alpha = \alpha' \cup \{z_{i_1}, \ldots, z_{i_\ell}\} \in X$ with $\alpha' \cap \sigma = \emptyset$, define $\alpha^+ = \alpha' \cup \{z_{i_1}^+, \ldots, z_{i_\ell}^+\}$. Consider the simplicial complex

$$\widetilde{\Delta}^\sigma = \{\alpha \in \Delta : \alpha \notin X\} \cup \{\alpha^+ : \alpha \in X\} \cup \overline{\sigma^+}.$$

We say that $\widetilde{\Delta}^\sigma$ is obtained from Δ by a *simplicial handle deletion* over σ.

Intuitively, $\widetilde{\Delta}^\sigma$ is a simplicial complex obtained from Δ by cutting it along the face σ. Note that this construction is a simplified version of the construction in [BD1, Lemma 3.3]. Also, a similar construction for manifolds without boundary was considered by Walkup [Wa]. Simplicial handle deletion has the following property.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\widetilde{\Delta}^\sigma$ be obtained from a homology d-manifold with boundary Δ by a* simplicial handle deletion *over σ. Then*

(i) *$\widetilde{\Delta}^\sigma$ is a homology d-manifold with boundary, and*
(ii) *$\Delta = (\widetilde{\Delta}^\sigma)^{\overline{\psi}}$, where $\psi : \sigma^+ \to \sigma$ is the bijection given by $\psi(z_i^+) = z_i$ for all i.*

Proof. The second statement is straightforward if $\widetilde{\Delta}^\sigma$ is a homology manifold. So, we prove (i). For simplicity, we write $\widetilde{\Delta} = \widetilde{\Delta}^\sigma$. Let V be the vertex set of Δ.

We prove that each vertex link of $\widetilde{\Delta}$ is either a homology $(d-1)$-sphere or a $(d-1)$-ball. Suppose $v \notin \sigma^+ \cup \sigma$. Define the map $\varphi : \Delta \to \widetilde{\Delta}$ by $\varphi(\alpha) = \alpha$ if $\alpha \notin X$ and $\varphi(\alpha) = \alpha^+$ if $\alpha \in X$. Then φ gives a bijection between $\Delta \setminus \overline{\sigma}$ and $\widetilde{\Delta} \setminus (\overline{\sigma^+} \cup \overline{\sigma})$, in particular, it gives a bijection between $\{\alpha : v \in \alpha \in \Delta\}$ and $\{\alpha : v \in \alpha \in \widetilde{\Delta}\}$. Thus $\mathrm{lk}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}(v)$ is combinatorially isomorphic to $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)$, which implies the desired property. Suppose $v = z_k^+$ for some k. Then

$$\mathrm{lk}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}(v) = \mathrm{lk}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}(z_k^+) = \overline{(\sigma \setminus \{z_k\})^+} \cup \{(\alpha \setminus \{z_k\})^+ : z_k \in \alpha \in X\}.$$

On the other hand, the x-component of $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(z_k)$ is

$$\overline{\sigma \setminus \{z_k\}} \cup \{(\alpha \setminus \{z_k\}) : z_k \in \alpha \in R_x(k)\}.$$

By Lemma 3.5, they are combinatorially isomorphic. This proves that $\mathrm{lk}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}(v)$ is a homology $(d-1)$-ball. Finally, suppose $v = z_k$ for some k. Since $X \cap Y = \emptyset$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{lk}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}(v) &= \overline{\sigma \setminus \{v\}} \cup \{\alpha \setminus \{v\} : v \in \alpha \in R_y(k) \setminus X\} \\ &= \overline{\sigma \setminus \{z_k\}} \cup \{\alpha \setminus \{z_k\} : \alpha \in R_y(k)\}\end{aligned}$$

is the y-component of $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)$ w.r.t. $\sigma \setminus \{v\}$. Thus $\mathrm{lk}_{\widetilde{\Delta}}(v)$ is a homology $(d-1)$-ball.

Finally, $\widetilde{\Delta}$ has a non-empty boundary since $\sigma \in \partial\widetilde{\Delta}$. □

Remark 3.8. In this section, we consider simplicial handle deletions for homology manifolds. One may ask if the result holds also for combinatorial manifolds. However, we are not sure if a simplicial handle deletion preserves being combinatorial manifolds. This is because, in Lemma 3.4, we are not sure if B_1 and B_2 are PL-balls when B is a PL-ball.

4. A characterization of stacked manifolds

In this section, we present a characterization of stacked manifolds. We first define an analogue of Walkup's class for manifolds with boundary.

Definition 4.1. Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer. We recursively define $\overline{\mathcal{H}^d}(k)$ as follows.

(a) $\overline{\mathcal{H}^d}(0)$ is the set of stacked triangulations of d-balls.
(b) Δ is a member of $\overline{\mathcal{H}^d}(k+1)$ if it is obtained from a member of $\overline{\mathcal{H}^d}(k)$ by a simplicial handle addition.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{H}^d} = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \overline{\mathcal{H}^d}(k)$.

Note that every stacked triangulation of the d-ball is obtained from a d-simplex by taking a simplicial connected union with a d-simplex repeatedly. See [DS, Lemma 2.1]. The classes $\overline{\mathcal{H}^d}$ and $\mathcal{H}^d$ have the following simple relation.

Lemma 4.2. *For all integers $d \geq 3$ and $k \geq 0$, one has $\mathcal{H}^d(k) = \{\partial\Delta : \Delta \in \overline{\mathcal{H}^d}(k)\}$.*

Proof. The case when $k = 0$ and the inclusion $\mathcal{H}^d(k) \supseteq \{\partial\Delta : \Delta \in \overline{\mathcal{H}^d}(k)\}$, for all $k \geq 0$, are obvious. For $k > 0$, the converse inclusion follows by induction on k. Indeed, if $\Gamma \in \mathcal{H}^d(k)$, then by induction we may assume that there is a $\Delta \in \overline{\mathcal{H}^d}(k-1)$ such that $\Gamma = (\partial\Delta)^\psi$ for some admissible bijection $\psi : \sigma \to \tau$ in $\partial\Delta$. Since, $\partial\Delta$ and Δ have the same 1-faces by Lemma 3.2(iii), the bijection ψ is also admissible for Δ, and $\Gamma = \partial(\Delta^{\overline{\psi}}) \in \{\partial\Delta : \Delta \in \overline{\mathcal{H}^d}(k)\}$. □

Lemma 4.3. *If $\Delta \in \overline{\mathcal{H}^d}(k)$ and $\Gamma \in \overline{\mathcal{H}^d}(\ell)$ then their simplicial connected union belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{H}^d}(k+\ell)$.*

Proof. We may assume $k \leq \ell$. We use induction on $k + \ell$. If $k + \ell = 0$ then the assertion follows from Lemma 3.2(iii). Suppose $k + \ell > 0$. Then $\Gamma = \Sigma^{\overline{\varphi}}$ for some $\Sigma \in \overline{\mathcal{H}^d}(\ell - 1)$ and for some admissible bijection φ between facets of $\partial\Sigma$. Let ψ be a bijection from a facet of $\partial\Delta$ to a facet of $\partial\Gamma$. Then $\Delta \cup_\psi \Gamma$ is $(\Delta \cup_\psi \Sigma)^\varphi$ (by an appropriate identification of the vertices). By induction hypothesis, we have $\Delta \cup_\psi \Sigma \in \overline{\mathcal{H}^d}(k + \ell - 1)$ and hence $\Delta \cup_\psi \Gamma \in \overline{\mathcal{H}^d}(k + \ell)$. □

Remark 4.4. A similar result for $\mathcal{H}^d$ was proved by Walkup [Wa, Proposition 4.4].

Theorem 4.5. *For $d \geq 2$, let Δ be a connected homology d-manifold with boundary. Then Δ is stacked if and only if $\Delta \in \overline{\mathcal{H}^d}$.*

Proof. The 'only if part' is obvious if Δ has one facet. Suppose that Δ has more than one facet. Then Δ has an interior $(d-1)$-face σ. Since Δ is stacked, it has no interior faces of dimension $\leq d - 2$. Thus we have $\partial\overline{\sigma} \subseteq \partial\Delta$. By Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.7, Δ is a simplicial connected union of two connected stacked manifolds or is obtained from a connected stacked manifold having a smaller first Betti number by a simplicial handle addition. Then the assertion follows by induction on the number of interior $(d-1)$-faces. □

By Lemma 4.2 and Theorem 4.5 we obtain the following.

Corollary 4.6. *Let Δ be a connected, closed, homology manifold of dimension $d \geq 2$. Then Δ is stacked if and only if $\Delta \in \mathcal{H}^{d+1}$.*

Finally, we discuss a connection between Corollary 4.6 and a question posed by Novik and Swartz [NS]. Novik and Swartz [NS, Theorem 5.2] gave the following interesting characterization of members of Walkup's class $\mathcal{H}^{d+1}$ for $d \geq 4$.

Proposition 4.7 (Novik–Swartz)**.** *Let Δ be a connected, closed, orientable, homology manifold of dimension $d \geq 3$. Then*

$$f_1(\Delta) - (d+1)f_0(\Delta) + \binom{d+2}{2} \geq \binom{d+2}{2}\beta_1(\Delta).$$

Further, if $d \geq 4$ then $f_1(\Delta) - (d+1)f_0(\Delta) + \binom{d+2}{2} = \binom{d+2}{2}\beta_1(\Delta)$ if and only if $\Delta \in \mathcal{H}^{d+1}$.

It was asked by Novik and Swartz [NS, Problem 5.3] if the equality case of the last statement in Proposition 4.7 also holds in dimension 3. Corollary 4.6 and the next result of Bagchi [Ba, Theorem 1.14] answer this question.

Proposition 4.8 (Bagchi)**.** *Let Δ be a connected, closed, homology 3-manifold. Then $f_1(\Delta) - 4f_0(\Delta) + 10 = 10\beta_1(\Delta)$ if and only if Δ is stacked.*

Corollary 4.9. *Let Δ be a connected, closed, homology 3-manifold. The following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) *Δ is a member of $\mathcal{H}^4$.*
(ii) *Δ is stacked.*
(iii) *$f_1(\Delta) - 4f_0(\Delta) + 10 = 10\beta_1(\Delta)$.*

Remark 4.10. It is known that the topological type of a member of $\mathcal{H}^{d+1}$ is one of the following: (i) the d-sphere S^d (ii) connected sums of sphere product $S^{d-1} \times S^1$ (iii) connected sums of twisted sphere product $S^{d-1} \tilde{\times} S^1$. See [LSS, Section 3]. Thus Corollary 4.6 also gives a new restriction on the topological types of stacked manifolds in dimensions 2 and 3.

Remark 4.11. Recently, Proposition 4.7 was extended to non-orientable homology manifolds (and even to normal pseudomanifolds) by the second author. See [Mu, Theorem 5.3].

5. Tight triangulations and stackedness

In this section, we study stackedness of tight triangulations. For a simplicial complex Δ with vertex set V, a subset $\sigma \subseteq V$ of $k+1$ elements is called a *missing k-face* of Δ if $\sigma \notin \Delta$ and all proper subsets of σ are faces of Δ. If σ is a missing k-face of Δ, then we have $\widetilde{H}_{k-1}(\Delta[\sigma]) \cong \mathbb{F}$. The following lemma follows from the definition of tightness.

Lemma 5.1. *Let Δ be a tight simplicial complex on the vertex set V. Then*

(i) *for all subsets $U \subseteq W$ of V, the natural map $\widetilde{H}_i(\Delta[U]) \to \widetilde{H}_i(\Delta[W])$ induced by the inclusion is injective, and*
(ii) *if $\beta_{k-1}(\Delta) = 0$ then Δ has no missing k-faces.*

For a simplicial complex Δ, we identify its 1-*skeleton* $\mathrm{Skel}_1(\Delta) = \{\sigma \in \Delta : \dim(\sigma) \leq 1\}$ with the simple graph whose vertex set is the set of the vertices of Δ and whose edge set is the set of the edges (1-simplices) in Δ. We say that a simple graph G is *chordal* if it has no induced cycle of length ≥ 4. The following result is due to Kalai [Ka, Theorem 8.5].

Proposition 5.2 (Kalai)**.** *Let Δ be a homology $(d-1)$-sphere with $d \geq 3$. Then Δ is stacked if and only if the 1-skeleton of Δ is chordal and Δ has no missing k-faces for $1 < k < d-1$.*

Let Δ be a closed homology manifold of dimension $d \geq 3$. We say that Δ is *tight-neighborly* if $\binom{f_0(\Delta)-d-1}{2} = \binom{d+2}{2}\beta_1(\Delta;\mathbb{F})$. Since $\binom{f_0}{2} - (d+1)f_0 + \binom{d+2}{2} = \binom{f_0-d-1}{2}$, Δ is tight-neighborly if and only if Δ is stacked and neighborly by Proposition 4.7 (see Remark 4.11 for the non-orientable case). Note that the latter condition says that tight-neighborliness does not depend on the choice of a field $\mathbb{F}$. Here we prove the following.

Theorem 5.3. *Let Δ be a tight, connected, closed, homology manifold of dimension $d \geq 4$ such that $\beta_i(\Delta) = 0$ for $1 < i < d-1$. Then Δ is locally stacked.*

Proof. Let v be a vertex of Δ. We prove that $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)$ is stacked.

We first claim that no induced subcomplex of $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)$ can be a 1-dimensional simplicial complex which forms a cycle. Suppose to the contrary that $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)[W]$ is a cycle for some W. Let $C = \mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)[W]$ and $v * C = C \cup \{\{v\} \cup \sigma : \sigma \in C\}$. Then we have $\Delta[W \cup \{v\}] = \Delta[W] \cup (v*C)$ and $\Delta[W] \cap (v*C) = C$. Consider the Mayer–Vietoris exact sequence

$$\widetilde{H}_2(\Delta[W \cup \{v\}]) \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}_1(C) \longrightarrow \widetilde{H}_1(\Delta[W]) \oplus \widetilde{H}_1(v*C) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \widetilde{H}_1(\Delta[W \cup \{v\}]).$$

Since Δ is tight and $\beta_2(\Delta) = 0$, we have $\widetilde{H}_2(\Delta[W \cup \{v\}]) = 0$. Then since $\widetilde{H}_1(C) \neq 0$, the map φ has a non-trivial kernel. However, since $\widetilde{H}_1(v*C) = 0$, this contradicts the tightness of Δ as it implies that φ is injective by Lemma 5.1(i). Hence no induced subcomplex of $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)$ can be a cycle.

Now we prove the statement. By Lemma 5.1(ii), Δ has no missing k-faces for $2 < k < d$. This implies that $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)$ has no missing k-faces for $2 < k < d-1$. Also, $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)$ has no missing 2-faces since if it has a missing 2-face σ then $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)[\sigma]$ is a cycle of length 3. Similarly, the 1-skeleton of $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)$ is a chordal graph since if it has an induced cycle of length ≥ 4 with the vertex set W, then $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)[W]$ is a cycle. Thus, by Proposition 5.2, $\mathrm{lk}_\Delta(v)$ is stacked. $\square$

For any field, a tight homology manifold is orientable (cf. [BD3]). From Theorem 5.3 and all the known results, we have the following.

Corollary 5.4. *Let Δ be a closed, orientable, homology manifold of dimension $d \geq 4$. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) Δ *is tight-neighborly.*
(ii) Δ *is a neighborly member of* $\mathcal{H}^{d+1}$.
(iii) Δ *is neighborly and stacked.*
(iv) Δ *is neighborly and locally stacked.*
(v) Δ *is tight and* $\beta_i(\Delta) = 0$ *for* $1 < i < d-1$.

Proof. The equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) follows from Proposition 4.7, (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) follows from Corollary 4.6, and (ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) follows from Kalai's result [Ka, Corollary 8.4]. Now, (v) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) follows from Theorem 5.3. Since $\Delta \in \mathcal{H}^{d+1}$ implies $\beta_i(\Delta) = 0$ for $1 < i < d-1$, (ii) & (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (v) follows from [BD3, Theorem 3.11]. This completes the proof. $\square$

From the equivalence of (i) and (v) in Corollary 5.4 it follows that tight triangulations of connected sums of S^{d-1}-bundles over S^1 are tight-neighborly for $d \geq 4$. This answers a question asked by Effenberger [Ef, Question 4.5].

It would be natural to ask if the results in this section hold in dimension 3. Very recently, Bagchi, Spreer and the first author [BDS] proved the following result (which answers a question asked in a previous version of this paper).

Proposition 5.5 (Bagchi–Datta–Spreer)**.** *A closed triangulated 3-manifold M is $\mathbb{F}$-tight if and only if M is $\mathbb{F}$-orientable, neighbourly and stacked.*

As a consequence of Proposition 5.5 and Corollary 4.9 we get the following (compare Corollaries 1.4 and 1.5 of [BDS]).

Corollary 5.6. *Let Δ be an $\mathbb{F}$-orientable, closed, triangulated 3-manifold. The following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) Δ *is $\mathbb{F}$-tight.*
(ii) Δ *is neighborly and stacked.*
(iii) Δ *is a neighborly member of* $\mathcal{H}^4$.
(iv) $(f_0(\Delta) - 4)(f_0(\Delta) - 5) = 20\beta_1(\Delta; \mathbb{F})$.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank Bhaskar Bagchi for many useful comments on a preliminary version of this paper. They also thank Eran Nevo for letting them know that Lemma 3.3 follows from the Alexander duality. The first author was partially supported by DIICCSRTE, Australia (project AISRF06660) & DST, India (DST/INT/AUS/P-56/2013 (G)) under the Australia-India Strategic Research Fund and by the UGC Centre for Advanced Studies (F.510/6/CAS/2011 (SAP-I)). The second author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI 26400043.

References

[Ba] B. Bagchi, The mu vector, Morse inequalities and a generalized lower bound theorem for locally tame combinatorial manifolds, *European. J. Combin.* **51** (2016) 69–83.

[BD1] B. Bagchi and B. Datta, Lower bound theorem for normal pseudomanifolds, *Expositiones Math.* **26** (2008) 327–351.

[BD2] B. Bagchi, B. Datta, On k-stellated and k-stacked spheres, *Discrete Math.* **313** (2013) 2318–2329.

[BD3] B. Bagchi, B. Datta, On stellated spheres and a tightness criterion for combinatorial manifolds, *European J. Combin.* **36** (2014) 294–313.

[BDS] B. Bagchi, B. Datta, J. Spreer, A characterization of tightly triangulated 3-manifolds, arXiv:1601.00065, 2016.

[BDSS] B. A. Burton, B. Datta, N. Singh, J. Spreer, Separation index of graphs and stacked 2-spheres, *J. Combin. Theory* Ser. A. **136** (2015) 184–197.

[DS] B. Datta, N. Singh, An infinite family of tight triangulations of manifolds, *J. Combin. Theory* Ser. A **120** (2013) 2148–2163.

[Ef] F. Effenberger, Stacked polytopes and tight triangulations of manifolds, *J. Combin. Theory* Ser. A **118** (2011), 1843–1862.

[Ka] G. Kalai, Rigidity and the lower bound theorem. I, *Invent. Math.* **88** (1987) 125–151.

[Kü] W. Kühnel, Tight Polyhedral Submanifolds and Tight Triangulations, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. **1612**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[KL] W. Kühnel, F. H. Lutz, A census of tight triangulations, in: Discrete Geometry and Rigidity, Budapest, 1999, *Period. Math. Hungar.* **39** (1–3) (1999) 161–183.

[LSS] F. H. Lutz, T. Sulanke, E. Swartz, f-vectors of 3-manifolds, *Electron. J. Combin.* **16** (2009), Research paper R13, 33 pp.

[Mu] S. Murai, Tight combinatorial manifolds and graded Betti numbers, *Collect. Math.* **66** (2015) 367–386.

[MN] S. Murai, E. Nevo, On r-stacked triangulated manifolds, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **39** (2014) 373–388.

[NS] I. Novik, E. Swartz, Socles of Buchsbaum modules, complexes and posets, *Adv. Math.* **222** (2009) 2059–2084.

[Sp] E. H. Spanier, Algebraic Topology, Springer, 1981.

[Wa] D. W. Walkup, The lower bound conjecture for 3- and 4-manifolds, *Acta Math.* **125** (1970) 75–107.

Basudeb Datta, Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India. Email: *dattab@math.iisc.ernet.in*

Satoshi Murai, Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka, 560-0043, Japan. Email: *s-murai@ist.osaka-u.ac.jp*